

At a glance						
		2019 Q1	2019 Q2	2019 Q3	2019 Q4	2020 Q1
Information on submissions and punctuality	Number of reporting institutions	117	114	116	116	115
	Number of expected reports	934	912	928	928	919
	% of missing and delayed reports	1.18 %	0.55 %	0.11 %	1.29 %	3.70 %
Completeness	% of missing templates	0.91 %	0.45 %	0.10 %	0.34 %	0.26 %
	% of missing data points	5.79 %	5.83 %	4.98 %	5.57 %	4.12 %
Accuracy	% of failing validation rules	0.10 %	0.07 %	0.03 %	0.04 %	0.05 %
	% of reporting institutions submitting reports with at least one failing validation rule*	48.72 %	48.25 %	28.45 %	46.55 %	52.17 %
Supervisory reporting events						Reporting framework v2.9 phase 1

Individual Data Quality Indicator (DQI) distribution

DQI scores

2



The analysis included in the aggregated Data Quality Tables is carried out, for each reference period, as of one working day after the respective date for submission of reports to the ECB ("remittance date"). The remittance dates are defined under Article 3 of Decision (EU) 2017/1493 of the European Central Bank of 3 August 2017 (ECB/2017/23). The metrics for the plausibility dimension are computed, for all reference periods, twenty-five working days after the ECB remittance date for the most recent reference period.

Details on the data quality framework and the data quality indicators are included in the Explanatory note on aggregated data quality tables, available under the section "More detailed information on published supervisory data – Methodology and classification" of the webpage.

* In Q3 2019 the calculation of the metric has been refined to better reflect reporting requirements with respect to optional modules that can be reported by institutions within the reporting frameworks. The change has been applied retrospectively to the time series.

Punctuality





% of EBA non-blocking failing validation rules % of EBA blocking failing validation rules

	2019 Q1	2019 Q2	2019 Q3	2019 Q4	2020 Q1
Supervisory reporting events					Reporting framework v2.9 phase 1

\sim	om	nlo	ton	ess
		pie	len	622

Percentage of submitted templates, by report

	2019 Q1	2019 Q2	2019 Q3	2019 Q4	2020 Q1
AE	99.2%	99.8%	99.8%	99.9%	100.0%
ALM	99.1%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	97.4%
COREP LR	99.1%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%
COREP OF	98.8%	98.9%	99.9%	99.0%	99.9%
FINREP	99.3%	99.6%	99.8%	99.7%	99.9%
LCR	99.1%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%
LE	99.5%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%
NSFR	97.4%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%
Total	99.1%	99.5%	99.9%	99.7%	99.7%



*Confirmed reporting errors or excessive variations in the value of submitted data points that are pending investigation by reporting institutions. This chart does not include those issues (excessive variations) that have been explained by institutions.

The analysis included in the aggregated Data Quality Tables is carried out, for each reference period, as of one working day after the respective date for submission of reports to the ECB ("remittance date"). The remittance dates are defined under Article 3 of Decision (EU) 2017/1493 of the European Central Bank of 3 August 2017 (ECB/2017/23). The metrics for the plausibility dimension are computed, for all reference periods, twenty-five working days after the ECB remittance date for the most recent reference period.

Details on the data quality framework and the data quality indicators are included in the Explanatory note on aggregated data quality tables, available under the section "More detailed information on published supervisory data – Methodology and classification" of the webpage.